UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,886	02/27/2002	Dan Kikinis	007287.00017	7769
22907 BANNER & W	7590 01/18/201: TTCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STREET, N.W.			SCHNURR, JOHN R	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/085,886	KIKINIS, DAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOHN SCHNURR	2421					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2012.						
	action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in response	onse to a restriction requirement :	set forth during the	e interview on				
; the restriction requirement and election	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.						
4) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11,12 and 18-30 is/are pendin	g in the application.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11,12 and 18-30</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/085,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 2421

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/09/2012 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12 and 18-30 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 01/09/2012 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the combination of McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee does not teach "receiving a set of advertisements; storing the set of advertisements; and selecting a first advertisement from the set of advertisements, wherein the first advertisement is based on at least one of the demographic profiles," the examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim does not specify where in the video distribution system the set of advertisements are being received and stored. Knee teaches that a set of advertisements are sent from the advertising database 36 of main facility 32 to television distribution facility 38 where they are stored on server 42 (Fig. 1, [0018], [0020], [0022]). An advertisement is then selected from this set of advertisements based on a user demographic profile ([0050]).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,886 Page 3

Art Unit: 2421

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 7, 11 and 18-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClard (US 6,438,752) in view of Wang et al. (US 2003/0028871), herein Wang, in view of Bedard (US 5,801,747) in view of Knee et al. (US 2002/0095676), herein Knee.

Referring to **claim 1**, McClard teaches a method performed by a processor comprising:

adding a category from a first set of categories of content items to a second set of categories of content items in response to a content viewing device being tuned, for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold, to a content items belonging to a category of the first set of categories; (Column 4 lines 64-67 and Figure 3 element 54 teaches storing program category information in the memory and Column 5 lines 52-67 and Column 6 lines 1-9 teaches that when a program is watched for a period of time the program is added to a frequency watch list in memory 56 of Figure 3 and along with the program name the type/genre is added to memory 56 thus the category of a program is added from a first set of categories in memory 54 to a second set of data that includes categories in memory 56)

However, McClard does not explicitly teach updating the second set of categories in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold.

In an analogous art, Wang, which discloses a system for collecting viewing information, clearly teaches updating the second set of categories in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold. (Session time is added to total time and if total time

is greater than a predetermined threshold the preference profile is updated, [0034].)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of McClard by updating the second set in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold, as taught by Wang, for the benefit of determining channel surfer preferences ([0034] Wang).

However, McClard combined with Wang does not explicitly teach the period of time includes a first amount of time tuned to a first content item belonging to the category and a second amount of time belonging to the category.

In an analogous art, Bedard, which discloses a system for collecting viewing information, clearly teaches the period of time includes a first amount of time tuned to a first content item belonging to the category and a second amount of time belonging to the category. (Fig. 2: Subcategory viewing unit counters 206 are added to determine the total viewing time of each subcategory, col. 4 lines 49-65.)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of McClard combined with Wang by finding the total time each category was viewed, as taught by Bedard, for the benefit of determining more accurate viewer behavior information (col. 4 lines 63-65 Bedard).

McClard further teaches creating multiple profiles. (column 5 lines 19-41) McClard combined with Wang and Bedard fails to teach determining a demographic profile based on the second set of categories; receiving a set of advertisements; storing the set of advertisements; and selecting a first advertisement from the set of advertisements, wherein the first advertisement is based on at least one of the demographic profiles.

In an analogous art, Knee teaches determining a demographic profile based on the second set of categories (Paragraphs [0029] and [0030] and Figure 2 teach determining demographic categories for a user; Paragraph [0036] teaches that a show's category is used determine a user's demographic profile); receiving a set of advertisements; storing the set of advertisements; (Fig. 1: Television distribution facility 38 receives advertisements from main facility 32 and stores them on server 42, [0018], [0020], [0022].) and selecting a first advertisement from the set of advertisements, wherein the first advertisement is based on at least one of the demographic profiles. (Paragraph [0050] teaches selecting an advertisement from the user demographic profile).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the category set moving method McClard combined with Wang and Bedard using the demographic profiling and advertisement determination method of Knee for the purpose of categorizing user information into demographic categories that could then be used for specified purposes, such as for targeting advertisements or taking certain actions in the program guide (Paragraph [0007], Knee).

Referring to **claim 5**, depending on claim 1, Knee teaches removing a category from the second set of categories in response to the content viewing device not being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a second predetermine threshold, to at least one content item belonging to the category of the second set of categories (**Paragraph [0044]**).

Referring to claim 7, see the rejection of claim 1; (McClard Figure 3 teaches element 50 is a processor and element 52 is a memory according to Column 4 lines 54-61; Knee teaches element 64 is a memory and element 60 is a microprocessor according to Paragraph [0028].)

Referring to **claim 11**, depending on claim 7, see the rejection of claim 5.

Referring to **claim 18**, depending on claim 1, McClard teaches adding a category from the first set to the second set in response to multiple selections of at least one content item belonging to the category of the first set of categories, said multiple selections at least equal to a predetermined number of selections. **(col. 6 line 62 to col. 7 line 7)**

Referring to **claim 19**, depending on claim 7, see the rejection of claim 18.

Referring to claim 20, depending on claim 1, McClard teaches adding a category from the first set to the second set of categories in response to a selecting of the category from the first set. (Column 5 lines 52-67 and Column 6 lines 1-9 teaches that when a program is watched for a period of time the program is added to a frequency watch list in memory 56 of Figure 3 and along with the program name the type/genre is added to memory 56 thus the category of a program is added from a first set of categories in memory 54 to a second set of data that includes categories in memory 56 when the category is selected by tuning the program.)

Referring to **claim 21**, depending on claim 7, see the rejection of claim 20.

Referring to **claim 22**, depending on claim 1, McClard teaches increasing a weight value of a category based on a duration of viewing time for at least one

content item in that category (Column 6 lines 5-9 teaches if the user watches a particular program for a predetermined period of time the genre is stored in frequency memory 56.); and wherein the step of determining a plurality of demographic profiles includes utilizing weight values for categories to determine said demographic profiles. (Column 6 line 62 to Column 7 line 7 teaches the weight of the genre for a particular time period is used in the user profile.)

Referring to **claim 23**, depending on claim 7, see the rejection of claim 22.

Referring to **claim 24**, depending on claim 1, Knee teaches removing a category from the second set in response to a selection of the category from the second set (**Categories which have not been viewed are selected to be removed** [0044].).

Referring to **claim 25**, depending on claim 7, see the rejection of claim 24.

Referring to **claim 26**, depending on claim 1, Bedard clearly teaches determining that the content viewing device has been tuned, for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold, to a plurality of content items belonging to a category of the first set of categories includes: determining a first period of time the content viewing device is tuned to a first content item belonging to the category of the first set of categories; and determining a second period of time the content viewing device is tuned to a second content item different from the first content item, the second content item also belonging to the category of the first set of categories, wherein the sum of the first period of time and second period of time is at least equal to the first predetermined threshold. (**Fig. 2: The viewing units for each category from different channels are added to one another to determine the period of viewing for each category, col. 4 lines 49-65.)**

Referring to **claim 27**, depending on claim 7, see rejection of claim 26.

Referring to **claim 28**, depending on claim 1, Knee clearly teaches determining a first demographic profile by comparing categories in the second set of categories to one or more sets of categories, the one or more sets of categories corresponding to different demographic profiles. (**Demographic category information is selected based on the user's selections and used to select advertisements**, [0050].)

Referring to **claim 29**, depending on claim 7, see rejection of claim 28.

Referring to **claim 30**, McClard teaches an apparatus comprising:

a processor; and memory operatively coupled to the processor and storing computer readable instructions (Fig. 3 teaches element 50 a processor and element 52 is memory according to Column 4 lines 54-61) that, when executed, cause the apparatus to:

add a category from a first set of categories of content items to a second set of categories of content items in response to a content viewing device being tuned, to a plurality of content items belonging to a category of the first set of categories. (Column 4 lines 64-67 and Figure 3 element 54 teaches storing program category information in the memory and Column 5 lines 52-67 and Column 6 lines 1-9 teaches that when a program is watched for a period of time the program is added to a frequency watch list in memory 56 of Figure 3 and along with the program name the type/genre is added to memory 56 thus the category of a program is added from a first set of categories in memory 54 to a second set of data that includes categories in memory 56)

However, McClard does not explicitly teach updating the second set of categories in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold.

In an analogous art, Wang, which discloses a system for collecting viewing information, clearly teaches updating the second set of categories in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold. (Session time is added to total time and if total time is greater than a predetermined threshold the preference profile is updated, [0034].)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of McClard by updating the second set in response to a plurality of content items being tuned for a period of time at least equal to a first predetermined threshold, as taught by Wang, for the benefit of determining channel surfer preferences ([0034] Wang).

However, McClard combined with Wang does not explicitly teach the period of time includes a first amount of time tuned to a first content item belonging to the category and a second amount of time belonging to the category.

In an analogous art, Bedard, which discloses a system for collecting viewing information, clearly teaches the period of time includes a first amount of time tuned to a first content item belonging to the category and a second amount of time belonging to the category. (Fig. 2: Subcategory viewing unit counters

Application/Control Number: 10/085,886

Art Unit: 2421

206 are added to determine the total viewing time of each subcategory, col. 4 lines 49-65.)

Page 8

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of McClard combined with Wang by finding the total time each category was viewed, as taught by Bedard, for the benefit of determining more accurate viewer behavior information (col. 4 lines 63-65 Bedard).

McClard further teaches creating multiple profiles. (column 5 lines 19-41) McClard combined with Wang and Bedard fails to teach determining a demographic profile based on the second set of categories; receiving a set of advertisements; storing the set of advertisements; and selecting a first advertisement from the set of advertisements, wherein the first advertisement is based on at least one of the demographic profiles.

In an analogous art, Knee teaches determining a demographic profile based on the second set of categories (Paragraphs [0029] and [0030] and Figure 2 teach determining demographic categories for a user; Paragraph [0036] teaches that a show's category is used determine a user's demographic profile); receiving a set of advertisements; storing the set of advertisements; (Fig. 1: Television distribution facility 38 receives advertisements from main facility 32 and stores them on server 42, [0018], [0020], [0022].) and selecting a first advertisement from the set of advertisements, wherein the first advertisement is based on at least one of the demographic profiles. (Paragraph [0050] teaches selecting an advertisement from the user demographic profile).

5. Claims 2, 3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClard (US 6,438,752 B1) in view of Wang et al. (US 2003/0028871) in view of Bedard (US 5,801,747) in view of Knee et al. (US 2002/0095676), as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Ellis et al. (US 2003/0020744), herein Ellis.

Referring to **claim 2**, depending on claim 1, McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee fail to teach displaying the first advertisement with an interactive programming guide.

In an analogous art Ellis teaches displaying the first advertisement with an interactive programming guide (Paragraphs [0125] and [0126] teach selecting an advertisement and Paragraph [0110] teaches using viewer history to

determine which advertisements to use in the program guide, Figure 5 elements 108).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the combined methods of McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee using the targeted advertisement display method of Ellis for the purpose of providing users a user customized program guide experience (Paragraph [0010], Ellis).

Referring to **claim 3**, depending on claim 1, McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee fail to teach transmitting the second set of categories to a unit at a head end of a broadcasting system providing the first set of categories of content items.

In an analogous art Ellis teaches transmitting the second set of categories to a unit at a head end of a broadcasting system providing the first set of categories of content items (Paragraphs [0125] and [0126] and Figure 2b teach transmitting the user history to the program guide server element 25).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the combined methods of McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee using the transmission of recorded user history data to the head end of Ellis for the purpose of providing users' a user customized program guide experience (Paragraph [0010], Ellis).

Referring to **claim 8**, depending on claim 7, see rejection of claim 2.

Referring to **claim 9**, depending on claim 7, see rejection of claim 3.

6. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClard (US 6,438,752 B1) in view of Wang et al. (US 2003/0028871) in view of Bedard (US 5,801,747) in view of Knee et al. (US 2002/0095676), as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Schaffer et al. (US 2002/0104087), herein Schaffer.

Consider **claim 6**, McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee, combined as in claim 1, clearly teach adding a category from a first set to a second set.

However, McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee do not explicitly teach verifying profile updates with a viewer.

In an analogous art, Schaffer, which discloses a system for maintaining a user profile, clearly teaches verifying profile updates with a viewer. (The feedback request command queries the user about a program being watched, [0048].)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of McClard, Wang, Bedard and Knee by verifying profile updates with a viewer, as taught by Schaffer, for the benefit of maximizing the performance of a television recommender ([0010] Schaffer).

Referring to **claim 12**, depending on claim 7, see rejection of claim 6.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN SCHNURR whose telephone number is (571)270-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9a-5p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,886 Page 11

Art Unit: 2421

/JOHN SCHNURR/ Examiner, Art Unit 2421